

# Interactive Sound Propagation with Bidirectional Path Tracing

## Supplementary Material

### 1 Convergence of SNR optimization

In our propagation algorithm, we need to optimize the SNR metric

$$\sum_{m=1}^M 5 \log_{10} \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\sigma_{mn}^2}{Sx_n} \right). \quad (1)$$

where  $x_n$  is the sample probability for the integral  $T^i L_0$ . Optimization of this target function above could be written as

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^N} f(\mathbf{x}) \text{ s.t. } \sum_{n=1}^N x_n = 1 \quad (2)$$

where

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{m=1}^M \ln \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{a_{mn}}{x_n} \right), a_{mn} \geq 0 \quad (3)$$

with at least one positive  $a_{mn}$  for every  $m$  and  $n$ . This optimization problem is strictly convex, which guarantees the existence and uniqueness of the global minimum. To find the solution for this problem, one could use the iterative method below:

$$\mathbf{x}_{i+1} = \alpha \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x}_i) + (1 - \alpha) \mathbf{x}_i, \quad (4)$$

where

$$T_n(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\frac{a_{mn}}{x_n}}{\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{a_{mk}}{x_k}} \quad (5)$$

. In this section, we'll prove that the algorithm above locally converges to the global minimum for every  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and the convergence is at least linear.

The construction of our iterative method starts from the method of Lagrange multipliers [Bertsekas and Nedic 2003]. The correspondent Lagrange function for (2) is

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \lambda \left( \sum_{n=1}^N x_n - 1 \right) \quad (6)$$

and the solution  $\mathbf{x}^*$  satisfies the equation  $\nabla \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}^*, \lambda^*) = 0$  for some certain  $\lambda^*$ . The equation could also be written as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(\mathbf{x}^*) = -\lambda^* \\ \|\mathbf{x}^*\| = 1 \end{cases}. \quad (7)$$

Given

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} = - \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\frac{a_{mn}}{x_n^2}}{\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{a_{mk}}{x_k}}, \quad (8)$$

(8) is a set of nonlinear equations, which is hard to solve directly. Therefore, we constructed a iterative method to obtain the approximation of the solution  $\mathbf{x}^*$ . For an iteration method  $\mathbf{x}_{n+1} = \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x}_n)$  that solves (8), it's necessary that  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x})$  maps  $\mathbf{x}^*$ , the solution of (8), to itself. And  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is called a "fixed point" of  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x})$ .

We have constructed a  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x})$  which has a fixed point at  $\mathbf{x}^*$ :

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x}^*) = \frac{\lambda^* \mathbf{x}^*}{M}. \quad (9)$$

We could see from (5) that  $\|\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x}^*)\| = 1$ . Together with (7), we have  $\lambda^* = M$ . Thus  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is a fixed point of operator  $\mathbf{T}$ . With

$$T_n(\mathbf{x}) = - \frac{x_n}{M} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \quad (10)$$

we have the equation (5). To further adjust the convergence of the iteration method, we add a relaxation factor  $\alpha$  to  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x})$  and achieve the iteration algorithm (4).

Now we need to prove the convergence of our algorithm. First we'll look at the Jacobian matrix of operator  $\mathbf{T}$  at  $\mathbf{x}^*$ . We have

$$\frac{\partial T_i}{\partial x_i} = \frac{1}{M x_i} \sum_{m=1}^M \left( - \frac{\frac{a_{mi}}{x_i}}{\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{a_{mk}}{x_k}} + \frac{\frac{a_{mi}^2}{x_i^2}}{\left( \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{a_{mk}}{x_k} \right)^2} \right) \quad (11)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial T_i}{\partial x_j} = \frac{1}{M x_i} \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\frac{a_{mi} a_{mj}}{x_j^2}}{\left( \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{a_{mk}}{x_k} \right)^2}, i \neq j. \quad (12)$$

We know from (7) that

$$\frac{1}{M x_i^*} \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\frac{a_{mi}}{x_i^*}}{\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{a_{mk}}{x_k^*}} = \frac{\lambda^*}{M} = 1, \quad (13)$$

And the Jacobian matrix of  $\mathbf{T}$  at  $\mathbf{x}^*$  could be expressed as

$$D\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I}, \quad (14)$$

where  $\mathbf{I}$  is the identity matrix and

$$\mathbf{A} = [a_{ij}]_{N \times N}, a_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\frac{a_{mi} a_{mj}}{x_i x_j^2}}{\left( \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{a_{mk}}{x_k} \right)^2}. \quad (15)$$

Now we'll prove that all the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}^*)$  are inside the  $[0, 1]$  interval. First, we notice that

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{C}, \quad (16)$$

where

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}) = \left[ \frac{\frac{a_{mi}}{x_i}}{\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{a_{mk}}{x_k}} \right]_{N \times M} \quad (17)$$

$$\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}) = \left[ \frac{\frac{a_{mj}}{x_j^2}}{M \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{a_{mk}}{x_k}} \right]_{M \times N} \quad (18)$$

It is obvious that  $\|\mathbf{B}\|_1 = 1$ . Further, we know from (13) that  $\|\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}^*)\|_1 = 1$ . Therefore we get  $\|\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}^*)\|_1 \leq 1$ . Since the spectral radius of a matrix is no greater than its norm, the upper bound of the eigenvalue is proved.

Second, we could also write  $\mathbf{A}$  as

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{M} \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{A}^{-1} = \frac{1}{M} \sqrt{\Lambda} (\sqrt{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B} \sqrt{\Lambda}^{-1}) \sqrt{\Lambda}^{-1} \quad (19)$$

where  $\Lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \text{diag}(\mathbf{x})$ . We see that  $M \cdot \mathbf{A}$  is similar to the matrix  $\sqrt{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B} \sqrt{\Lambda}^{-1}$ , which is a positive semidefinite matrix with

no negative eigenvalue. Thus all eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}$  are non-negative and the lower bound of the eigenvalue is proved.

Combining the conclusion above with (14), we know that all the eigenvalues of  $D\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x}^*)$  fall into the interval  $[-1, 0]$ . Now we'll do a first-order Taylor expansion of  $\mathbf{T}$  at  $\mathbf{x}^*$ :

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^* + D\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x}^*)(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*) + \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*) \quad (20)$$

where  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})$  is a matrix that satisfies

$$\lim_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}^*} \|\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})\| = 0. \quad (21)$$

Together with (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|\mathbf{x}_{i+1} - \mathbf{x}^*\|}{\|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}^*\|} &\leq \|\alpha(D\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x}^*) + \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})) + (1 - \alpha)\mathbf{I}\| \\ &\leq \max\{|1 - 2\alpha|, |1 - \alpha|\} + \delta(\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*\|) \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

with  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \delta(x) = 0$ .

For any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , we can always find an  $\varepsilon_0$  that satisfies  $\max\{|1 - 2\alpha|, |1 - \alpha|\} + \delta(x) < 1$  for any  $x < \varepsilon_0$ . Therefore (4) linearly converges to  $\mathbf{x}^*$  for any initial  $\mathbf{x}$  with  $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*\| < \varepsilon_0$ . Notice that  $\max\{|1 - 2\alpha|, |1 - \alpha|\}$  reaches its minimum when  $\alpha = \frac{2}{3}$ , which gives us a good candidate (but not necessarily the best choice) for  $\alpha$  in practical applications.

## 2 Improving Variance Estimation with Temporal Coherence

Our iteration algorithm requires an estimation of  $\sigma_{mn}^2$ . This estimation must be reevaluated constantly to address the changes of the sound environment. However, the variance estimation can be inaccurate due to insufficient number of samples. Actually, given a random variable  $X$ , we have

$$\sigma^2[S^2(X)] = \frac{1}{N}(\mu_4[X] - \frac{N-3}{N-1}\sigma^4[X]), \quad (23)$$

where  $S^2$  is the sample variance estimation with  $N$  samples and  $\mu_4$  is the fourth central moment [Casella and Berger 2002].

We exploit the temporal coherence and combine the estimation of the current frame with the results from the previous frames to improve the estimation quality. From (23) we observe that  $\sigma^2[S^2(X)]$  is roughly inversely proportional to  $N$ . To simplify our analysis, we would use an approximated version of (23),  $\sigma^2[S^2(X)] = C/N$  in our following discussion.

We tag every estimated variance  $S^2$  with a quality indicator  $Q[S^2]$ , which satisfies

$$\sigma^2[S^2] = \frac{C}{Q[S^2]} \quad (24)$$

One could see from the definition that an estimation with a larger  $Q$  will have less variance. For a new estimation,  $Q[S^2]$  equals to the number of samples used for estimation. For the combination of two estimations, we have the equation below.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^2[\gamma S_a^2 + (1 - \gamma)S_b^2] &= \gamma^2 \sigma^2[S_a^2] + (1 - \gamma)^2 \sigma^2[S_b^2] \\ &= C \left( \frac{\gamma^2}{Q[S_a^2]} + \frac{(1 - \gamma)^2}{Q[S_b^2]} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

and therefore

$$Q[\gamma S_a^2 + (1 - \gamma)S_b^2] = \frac{Q[S_a^2]Q[S_b^2]}{(1 - \gamma)^2 Q[S_a^2] + \gamma^2 Q[S_b^2]} \quad (26)$$

It's not hard to see that  $Q[\gamma S_a^2 + (1 - \gamma)S_b^2]$  reaches its maximum at

$$\gamma = Q[S_{i-1}^2] / (Q[S_{i-1}^2] + Q[S_0^2]) \quad (27)$$

And its maximum value is  $Q[S_a^2] + Q[S_b^2]$ .

After we calculate a new estimation  $S_0^2$  from samples in current frame, we will combine it with the estimation inherited from the last frame  $S_{i-1}^2$  and generate the estimation of the current frame  $S_i^2$ . However, we also need to address the changes of the sound environment, which requires us to lower the weight of  $S_{i-1}^2$  as much as possible. To balance between the quality and the responsiveness to scene changes, we use a predefined quality standard  $Q^*$ , and evaluate  $S_k^2$  with the following rules:

- if  $Q[S_0^2] > Q^*$ , we'll use  $S_0^2$  for  $S_k^2$  directly;
- if  $Q[S_0^2] + Q[S_{i-1}^2] < Q^*$ , the maximal value of  $Q[\gamma S_a^2 + (1 - \gamma)S_b^2]$  would still be smaller than  $Q^*$ , and we'll use the optimal combination weight from (27);
- Otherwise, we would keep  $Q[\gamma S_{i-1}^2 + (1 - \gamma)S_0^2] = Q^*$  to make the quality of the estimation stable. The combination weight is achieved by solving this equation, whose solutions are given below:

$$\gamma = \frac{Q_{i-1} \pm \sqrt{Q_{i-1}Q_0(\frac{Q_{i-1}+Q_0}{Q^*} - 1)}}{Q_{i-1} + Q_0} \quad (28)$$

When  $Q_{i-1} = Q^*$  (which is very likely to happen in practice), the equation above could be further simplified to:

$$\gamma = \frac{Q^* \pm Q_0}{Q^* + Q_0} \quad (29)$$

Since we need to lower the weight of  $S_{i-1}^2$ , we would choose the smaller value for  $\gamma$ .

## References

- BERTSEKAS, D., AND NEDIC, A. 2003. Convex analysis and optimization (conservative).
- CASELLA, G., AND BERGER, R. L. 2002. *Statistical inference*, vol. 2. Duxbury Pacific Grove, CA.